REMARKS

[0003] Applicant respectfully requests entry of the following remarks and

reconsideration of the subject application. Applicant respectfully requests entry of

the amendments herein. The remarks and amendments should be entered under

37 C.F.R. §1.116 as they place the application in better form for appeal, or for

resolution on the merits.

[0004] Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all

of the claims of the application. Claims 1-27 and 29-36 are presently pending.

Claims amended herein are 1, 5-7, 10, 14-22, 24-26, 29, and 31-32. Claims

withdrawn or cancelled herein are claims 4, 8-9, 11-13, 23, 27-28, 30, and 33-

36. New claims added herein are none.

Statement of Substance of Interview

[0005] The Examiner graciously talked with me—the undersigned

representative for the Applicant—on January 14, 2007. Applicant greatly

appreciates the Examiner's willingness to talk. Such willingness is invaluable to

both of us in our common goal of an expedited prosecution of this patent

application.

[0006] During the interview, I discussed how the claims differed from the

cited art, namely the specification of the present application. Without conceding

the propriety of the rejections and in the interest of expediting prosecution, I also

proposed several possible clarifying amendments.

Serial No.: 10/804,726

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1245US Atty/Agent: Jason F. Lindh RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION lee@hayes The Business of IP™

[0007] I understood the Examiner to tentatively agree that the independent

claims would be patentable over the cited art if amended as discussed during the

interview. However, the Examiner indicated that he would need to review the

proposed amendments more carefully to insure that the claims claimed statutory

subject matter, and requested that the proposed amendments be presented in

writing.

[0008] Applicant herein amends the claims in the manner discussed during

the interview. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the pending claims are allowable

over the cited art of record for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.

Formal Request for an Interview

[0009] If the Examiner's reply to this communication is anything other than

allowance of all pending claims, then I formally request an interview with the

Examiner. I encourage the Examiner to call me—the undersigned representative

for the Applicant—so that we can talk about this matter so as to resolve any

outstanding issues quickly and efficiently over the phone.

[0010] Please contact me or my assistant to schedule a date and time for a

telephone interview that is most convenient for both of us. While email works

great for us, I welcome your call to either of us as well. Our contact information

may be found on the last page of this response.

Serial No.: 10/804,726 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1245US

Atty/Agent: Jason F. Lindh Response to Final Office Action 17

ee&hayes The Business of IP™

www.leehayes.com 509.324.9256

Substantive Matters

Claim Rejections under § 101

[0011] Claims 1-27 and 29-36 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as

being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, the Examiner has

objected to these claims for failing to produce a practical application or a useful,

concrete and tangible result. In light of the amendments presented herein,

Applicant respectfully submits that these claims comply with the patentability

requirements of § 101 and that the § 101 rejections should be withdrawn. The

Applicant further asserts that these claims are allowable. Accordingly, Applicant

asks the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

Claim Rejections under §§ 102 and/or 103

[0012] Claims 1-3, 8-10, 20-22, 27, 29 and 34-36 have been rejected under

35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by applicant's background. Dependent

claims 4, 23, and 30 were previously indicated to be allowable. Applicant proposes

amending independent claims 1, 10, 20, and 29 to include the subject matter

indicated to be allowable. Applicant further proposes canceling claims 4, 8-9, 11-

13, 23, 27-28, 30, and 33-36 to remove claims claiming duplicative material. As

such, Applicant proposes that the each of the independent claims is now in proper

form for immediate allowance.

Serial No.: 10/804,726 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1245US Atty/Agent: Jason F. Lindh

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee&hayes on 509.324.9256

Dependent Claims

[0013] In addition to its own merits, each dependent claim is allowable for the same reasons that its base claim is allowable. Applicant requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of each dependent claim where its base claim is allowable.

Conclusion

[0014] All pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. If any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, the **Examiner is urged to contact me before issuing a subsequent Action**. Please call/email me or my assistant at your convenience.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: <u>7008,01,14</u>

Jason F. Lindh

&eg. No. 59090 (509) 324-9256 x215 jason@leehayes.com

www.leehayes.com

My Assistant: Carly Bokarica (509) 324-9256 x264 carly@leehayes.com

Serial No.: 10/804,726 Atty Docket No.: MSI-1245US Atty/Agent: Jason F. Lindh RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

